ORIGINAL EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

02-277

From: Tatiana Gill

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps. KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Mar 12. 2003 6:17 PM

Subject: NO DEREGULATION and supporting DIVERSITY, LOCALISM, and COMPETITION in

media.

As a concerned citizen with an ingrained love of the United States Constitution and democratic ideals, I urge the FCC to preserve diversity, localism, and competition in media by saying NO to deregulation.

Thank you for your attention and for taking seriously your obligation to

RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

preserving democracy in the United States of America.

Yours truly, Tatiana Gill

No. of Copies rec'd /

EX PARTE OR LATE FILE PRECEIVED

From: Elizabeth Rose To: Michael Copps

Date: Wed, Mar 12.2003 249 PM FCC don't allow media monopolies Subject:

MAR 1 8 2003

Dear Commissioner

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth A. Rose

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABODE

ORIGINAL 02-277

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED RECEIVED

From: Miriam Denmark
To: Michael Copps

Date: Wed, Mar 12,2003 2:49 PM **Subject:** Keep media free and competitive

MAR I 8 2003

Dear Commissioner:

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations **by** large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Miriam Denmark 3150 Madison Unit 1 Boulder, CO 80303

> No. of Copies rec'd_____ List ABCDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED PARTE OF LATE FILED

From: To: Brent Basham

Date:

Michael Copps Wed, Mar 12, 2003 1:18 PM

Subject:

Opposition to new rules

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

I am strongly opposed to the rule allowing ownership on multiple media outlets in the same region for fear that American opinion will be manipulated by a republican dominated agenda. Already in remote regions of our country public opinion is so swayed that a voice of decent can not even find it's way onto the airwaves. You say that the internet has changed everything and perhaps it has for you and me but many American's do not have the internet and are thus at the mercy of their local media. We should not create any further imbalance in American society

PhillipBasham of Sunnyvale, CA

No. of Copies recid______ List ABCDE



From:

Alisha Bell

To: Michael Copps Date:

Wed, Mar 12, 2003 1:16 PM Subject: media ownership

MAR 1 8 2003

RECEIVED

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

My name is Alisha Bell. I am a mass media arts student at Clark Atlanta University in Atlanta, GA. Recently we have been discussing media ownership rules in my Communications Law class. It was brought to my attention that the FCC is currently trying to relax the rules on media ownership. I do not believe this is a good idea considering media corporations will be able to control what we watch, hear, and read. I think that you all should reconsider relaxing the rules because of the danger it could do to our media industry. Thank you for your time.

Alisha Bell

Mr Copps,

Do you Yahoo!? Yahoo! Web Hosting -establish your business online

> No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

From: dr talovic@verizon net

To: Michael Copps

Date: Tue, Mar 11,2003 9:30 PM Subject: Protect Children's Television! 62-277

ORIGINAL

FCC Commissioner Michael J Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael J. Copps,

The FCC must consider the unique needs of children in its upcoming rulemaking on broadcast ownership rules

Children consume almost five and a half hours of media per day Research has shown that media, particularly television, play a unique and powerful role in children's development.

The FCC should consider how further relaxation of media ownership rules would impact children's programming. Deregulation may reduce competition. increase commercialism and result in less original programming for children.

Before making any regulatory changes to existing media ownership rules, the FCC must consider how children will **be** affected.

Sincerely,

Sharon Talovic. Ph.D. Clinical Psychologist 23812 Harbor Vista Drive Malibu. California 90265

cc: Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

No of Cop es rec'd_____

ORIGINAL EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: Arpad Bergh
To: Mike Powell
Date: 2/13/03 4:31PM
Subject: <No Subject>

Please find in the attached, last minute concerns with regard to the UNE Review from the Optoelectronics Industry Development Association

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

--

Arpad Bergh,President OIDA 1133 Connecticut Ave, NW - Suite 600 Washington. DC 20036 Ph: 202-785-4426 http://www.oida.org **RECEIVED**

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

CC: Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner Adelstein, Michael Copps, Kevin Martin, Christopher Libertelli, Matthew Brill, Lisa Zaina. Jordan Goldstein, Daniel Gonzalez

Pick of Copies rec'd______ (15,7800E

From: angela miller
To: Mike Powell
Date: 2/13/03 5 10PM

Subject: Stay with your beleifs sir,

ORIGINAL 94-98

I beleive your plan supports competition the best And Im a clec guy Don't them them get to you, with that elimination of Line-Sharing garbage

Thank you Derek

RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

of Copies roofs 4

Stephanie Kost - rules changes

ORIGINAL Page 1

EX PARTE On LATE FILED

From: priscillal
To: Mike Powell
Date: 2/14/03 10:04AM
Subject: rules changes

96.98

dear sir

i am writing to infor you of my support, it seams you are under attack for suggesting changes, which would be concidered normal in any other market. we don't ask mc donalds to subsidize burger king, we don't ask general motors to subsidize ford, we don't even ask congress to pay for they're own lunch.

I believe your doing the right thing by forcing the phone companies that are moving into new areas to carry their own weight. the bells are not subsidizing mci and those other big guys its me the customer who chooses to stay with a proven reliable carrier. those other companies always seem to have all the money in the world to advertize and lobby, but they claim they cannot afford to compete.

i hope you are successful, the current rules sound more like china than america. thanks again. ed mason

CC: plocano@ameritech.net

RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

ORIGINAL

From: Michael Mullarkey

To: Commissioner Adelstein

Date: Fri, Mar 7, 2003 5:35 PM

Subject: The consolidation of the media

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

02-277

I write to you as a part owner of America's airwaves. The suggestion to allow large corporate interests to control the access to information through expanded ownership of print and broadcast media can only serve to corrode our democratic process. The major television stations accessible to the citizens of Seattle are owned and controlled by three large corporations: **FOX**, General Electric and Disney. The homogeneity of our news content reflects their corporate perspective.

It is no accident that the issue of information deregulation has been discussed on public radio and television and assiduously avoided by all commercial broadcasters.

I do not want my news and my airways controlled by powerful financial interests, be they the New York Times or the corporations of Rupert Murdock.

Sincerely,

Michael Mullarkey, M.D. 1422 8th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119 telephone: 206-285-0340 facsimile: 206-285-7070

e-mail: mike70m@speakeasy org

ORIGINAL

From: Julie Christensen

To: Mike Powell Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB. Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Fri. Mar 7,2003 2 51 PM

Subject: NO! to deregulation

Dear Chairman Powell and Commissioners,

I have been more and more concerned over the last couple of years with the consolidation of radio and television that is already in effect. I'm afraid that further deregulation will make it impossible for the minds of this country to have enough choice of music, news, and film to become discerning citizens of this increasingly complicated world we inhabit. Yet, maybe that serves the corporate powers just fine. More sheep.

Please. Please, even though the world is distracted today by the debate at the UN over imminent war, let your conscience be your guide, and further the cause of freedom, democracy, and the first amendment by not allowing the media to be concentrated in the hands of a few wealthy people. Thank you.

Yours truly, Julie Christensen

po box 1171

Ojai, California, 93024

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

12-27/

ORIGINAL

Bjacksonjmurphy@aol.com EX PARTE OR LATE FILE()RECEIVED

From: Bjacksonjmu
To: Mike Powell

Date: Fri. Mar 7, 2003 1:01 AM

Subject: FCC rule change

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Chairman Powell,

I am very concerned about the proposed rule change which would allow a single company to own a TV station, radio station, and newspaper in the same market.

The concentration of media ownership in a few large companies is a disturbing trend. As more independent stations and newspapers are forced out of the market, because they can't compete with giant corporations, we, the consumers, are deprived of a healthy competition between viewpoints. In our democracy all our freedoms depend on this healthy flow of competing ideas. This is a bedrock principle.

Please do not allow this principle to be further weakened. Please vote no on the pending proposal. Please begin to reverse this concentration of media ownership Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Jackson 717-33rd St. Everett WA 98201 425 303-0127 02-277

From: Anne Hensel Mike Powell To:

ORIGINAL

Date: Subject:

Thu, Mar 6, 2003 3:26 PM

RECEIVED

Preserve Diversity and Openness in the Media and on the Internet

Anne Hensel 290 Werner Road Port Ludlow, WA 98365 MAR I 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

March 6, 2003

Federal Communications Commission Chair Michael K. Powell 445 12th St SW Rm 8-A204 Washington, DC 20554

12-277

Chair Powell:

The Federal Communications Commission is responsible for ensuring that the media serve the public interest. I am concerned that the FCC is acting on behalf of big business rather than the people.

It is clear that the FCC has stepped up its efforts to de-regulate the media and telecommunications industries. You must act now to halt further media consolidation and to preserve the openness and diversity of the Internet.

As a supporter of women's rights. I am concerned that the current media merger free-for-all threatens to rob us all of the independent voices. views and ideas that nourish a pluralistic, democratic society Ownership consolidation is squeezing out what little diversity remains in the marketplace

The media are more than just a business: they bring information to people that affects their lives. We cannot have a healthy democracy, and women cannot pursue equal rights, if we are uninformed on the issues. The media have a responsibility to serve the public interest and ensure that all voices are heard It is your job to promote this.

Please remember U.S. consumers and citizens when you review any further regulations. The media giants already control far too much of our precious information resources.

Sincerely,

Anne Hensel

ORIGINA Page 1

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

From: To: Denzil Walters Mike Powell

MAR 1 8 2003

Date:

Thu, Mar 6,2003 2:52 PM

Subject:

Media concentration

rederal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

TO: Chairman Michael K. Powell

FROM: Denzil Walters

Kingston, WA 98346

Seattle was better served when the city had three daily newspapers. Now it has two newspapers edited under a joint-operation agreement.

Radio listeners in Seattle were better served when radio stations were obligated to present more than one side in dealing with controversial issues.

The concentration of media ownership has narrowed the discussion of political and social ideas

The FCC proposal to permit cross-ownership of newspapers and broadcast stations in the same market further narrows expression of viewpoints.

I trust that you will reconsider your proposal to ease the way toward further concentration of media ownership.

CC: Denzil Walters

02-217

ORIGINAL

From: Crvstal Huvett
To: Mike Powell

Date: Thu, Mar 6, 2003 12:28 PM

Subject: FCC de-regulation

I had a very rude surprise this week. I was told about the de-regulation regarding the concentration of media that is now being considered. Why did I hear this from word of mouth and not from any media coverage? I know of only one discussion of this issue and that was on the CBS program Now. Why is such a life changing (nation changing) issue being swept under the rug? I feel I have no say in the state of my own democracy.

If you can give me more information on where my opinion can be heard, such as a hearing schedule, it would be much appreciated. I am already aware of the field hearing in Seattle 3/7/03 but I live in southern CA and am hoping I will have a chance to express my opinion as well.

Please send me any information you can, this scares me far more then the impending war

Thank You, Crystal Huyett MAR 1 8 2003

rederal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

02-277

Sharon Jenkins - NO DEREGULATION and supporting DIVERSITY, LOCALISM, and COMPETIT

Page 1

EY PARTE OR LATE FILED

12-277

From: Tatiana Gill

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB. Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Mar 12, 2003 6:17 PM

Subject: NO DEREGULATION and supporting DIVERSITY, LOCALISM, and COMPETITION in

media.

RECEIVED

As a concerned citizen with an ingrained love of the United States Constitution and democratic ideals, I urge the FCC to preserve diversity. localism, and competition in media by saying NO to deregulation.

MAR 1 8 2003

Thank you for your attention and for taking seriously your obligation to preserving democracy in the United States of America.

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Yours truly, Tatiana Gill

Sharon Jenkins - FCC don't allow media monopolies

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL Page 1
RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

02-217

From: Elizabeth Rose To: Mike Powell

Date: Wed, Mar 12, 2003 2:49 PM
Subject: FCC don't allow media monopolies

Dear Commissioner Powell:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner Powell, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth A. Rose

ORIGINAL RECEIVED

From:

Elizabeth Rose

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Wed, Mar 12, 2003 2.49 PM

Subject:

FCC don't allow media monopolies

MAR 1 8 2003

Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely

Elizabeth A. Rose

02-277

e vite ilea solla 1

ORIGINAL EX PARTE OR LATE FILED RECEIVED

From: Miriam Denmark
To: Mike Powell

Date: Wed, Mar 12, 2003 2:49 PM Subject: Keep media free and competitive

MAR 1 8 2003

Dear Commissioner Powell:

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

02-277

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner Powell, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Miriam Denmark 3150 Madison Unit 1 Boulder, CO 80303

ORIGINAL

From: Miriam Denmark

To: Commissioner Adelstein Date: Wed, Mar 12, 2003 2:49 PM Subject: Keep media free and competitive

RECEIVED

Dear Commissioner:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free One of the basic elements which help to keep the ramonostic models and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

Federal Communications Commission

MAR 1 8 2003

Office of the Secretary

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears to be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

02.277

Commissioner, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Miriam Denmark 3150 Madison Unit 1 Boulder, CO 80303

Sharon Jenkins - fcc Page 1

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ORIGINAL

From: Zach French

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy. Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB. Commissioner

Adelstein

Date: Wed, Mar 12, 2003 2:00 AM

Subject: fcc

no to the F.C.C.de-regulation regarding concentratin of media

The television and media already huge conglomerates already; they do not need more power.

The news doesn't cover this subject because it suits, what else aren't they covering?

Do you Yahoo!? Yahoo! Web Hosting - establish your business Online http://webhosting.yahoo.com RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2003

FederalCommunications Commission
Office of the Secretary

02-27 7

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sup_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t^{1/2}}$

ORIGINAL RECEIVED

From: Dorothea Salo To: Mike Powell

Date: Wed, Mar 12, 2003 1:14 AM Subject: Keep media free and competitive

MAR 1 8 2003

egeral Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner Powell:

One of the basic elements which help to keep the American media at least partially free and independent is the set of FCC regulations restricting consolidation and monopolies.

In the 2002 Biennial Review, the FCC appears *to* be planning to roll back many of these protective regulations: the Newspaper/Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule, the National Broadcast Ownership Cap, the Local Radio Ownership Rule, the Duopoly Rule and the Dual Network Rule.

Relaxation or abandonment of the preceding rules will result in the purchase of local and independent newspapers and radio and television stations by large media giants. The cost to the American People and Democracy will be far too high if local news, reportorial freedom and access to a true variety of legitimate views are further compromised.

Commissioner Powell, I urge you to make sure the FCC does not relax or drop these vital regulatory rules.

Sincerely,

Dorothea Salo 206 S Midvale BLVD Madison, WI 53705 02-277

From:

Kim Hackett

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Tue, Mar 11, 2003 10:20 PM

Subject:

FCC promote media diversity

Dear Commissioner Powell:

ORIGINAL RECEIVED

MAR 1 8 2003

ederal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Numerous reports agree that the Federal Communications is planning to loosen longstanding rules governing control of the media that bring news and views to the American public. This will inevitably lead to monopoly, by a few large corporate giants, of TV stations, newspapers, and broadcast networks

I urge you, Commissioner Powell, to halt immediately any implementation of these these FCC plans that threaten public access to diverse views and information.

02-277

Sincerely,

Kimberly Hackett 20 Grover ST #4 Malden, MA 02148

Get Your Private, Free Email at http://www hotmail.com

From:

Christopher Gronbeck

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Tue, Mar 11, 2003 9:03 PM

Subject:

Deregulation

MAR 1 8 2003

RECEIVED

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Hi...I'm a resident of Seattle, Washington. I strongly oppose efforts to deregulate media markets since the obvious impact is less diversity in broadcasting.

In an era where new, creative ideas are our best hope for addressing a complex future, the last thing we need is a more homogenous media. Please do everything you can to preserve multi-faceted ownership and operation of media resources

02-277

Sincerely,

Christopher Gronbeck

::: Christopher Gronbeck ::: Sustainable By Design ::: +------+

3631 Bagley Ave. North | christopher@susdesign.com | Seattle, WA 98103 | http://www.susdesign.com | phone: 206-925-9290 | fax: 877-684-0797 (USA) | mobile: 206-37-CHRIS | fax: 815-301-9466 (int'l.) |

From: UW Libraries Kiosk PC user

To: Mike Powell

Date: Tue, Mar 11,2003 7:00 PM **Subject:** Diversity of Ownership of Media

"Media deregulation" is a synonym for fewer and fewer corporations controlling more and more of the media. I prefer more diversity in media ownership, not less. What will you do to encourage greater diversity in media ownership?

I am Mason Taylor, 11725 23rd Ave NE, Seattle WA 98125

ORIGINAL RECEIVED

MAR I 8 2003

-ederal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

02-277

in or Confessional 1